

Nathan R. Ring  
NV State Bar No. 12078  
**STRANCH, JENNINGS & GARVEY, PLLC**  
3100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 208  
Las Vegas, NV 89102  
Telephone: 725.235.9750  
[lasvegas@stranchlaw.com](mailto:lasvegas@stranchlaw.com)

*Attorney for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class*

Todd L. Bice  
**PISANELLI BICE PLLC**  
400 S. 7th Street Suite 300  
Las Vegas, NV 89101  
Telephone: 702.214.2100  
[tlb@pisanellibice.com](mailto:tlb@pisanellibice.com)

Angela C. Agrusa\*  
**DLA PIPER LLP (US)**  
2000 Avenue of the Stars  
Suite 400 North Tower  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-4735  
Telephone: 310.595.3000  
[angela.agrusa@us.dlapiper.com](mailto:angela.agrusa@us.dlapiper.com)

*\*Pro hac vice application forthcoming*

*Attorneys for Defendant  
MGM Resorts International*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DAVID ZUSSMAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Case No. 2:23-cv-1537-CDS-BNW

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME  
TO FILE DEFENDANT'S  
RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT  
(FOURTH REQUEST)**

Plaintiff,

V.

VICI PROPERTIES L.P., et al.,

Defendant.

25 Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, Plaintiff David Zussman and Defendant MGM Resorts  
26 International (“MGM”) (collectively, the “Parties”) respectfully stipulate MGM’s time to  
27 respond to the Complaint be extended from the current deadline of March 11, 2024 to and  
28 including April 10, 2024. This is the fourth stipulation for an extension of time to file

1 MGM's responsive pleading. The court previously granted an extension on January 9, 2024.  
 2 ECF No. 24.

3 Good cause exists to enlarge the time for MGM to respond to the Complaint. There  
 4 are currently thirteen other related actions filed against MGM pending in this District (the  
 5 "Related Actions"). *See Kirwan v. MGM Resorts Int'l*, No. 2:23-cv-01481; *Owens v. MGM*  
 6 *Resorts Int'l*, No. 2:23-cv-01480; *Lackey v. MGM Resorts Int'l*, No. 2:23-cv-01549; *Pircio*  
 7 *v. MGM Resorts Int'l*, No. 2:23-cv-01550; *Terezo v. MGM Resorts Int'l*, No. 2:23-cv-01577;  
 8 *Rundell v. MGM Resorts Int'l*, No. 2:23-cv-01698; *Bezak v. MGM Resorts Int'l*, No. 2:23-  
 9 cv-01719; *Albrigo v. MGM Resorts Int'l*, No. 2:23-cv-1981; *Zari v. MGM Resorts Int'l*, No.  
 10 2:23-cv-01777; *Manson v. MGM Resorts Int'l*, No. 2:23-cv-01826; *Sloan v. Vici Properties*  
 11 *Inc., et al.*, No. 2:23-cv-02042; *Righetti v. MGM Resorts Int'l*, No. 2:23-cv-2064; *Mejia v.*  
 12 *MGM Resorts, Int'l*, No. 2:23-cv-00081.

13 On December 6 and December 20, 2023, plaintiffs' counsel in six consolidated  
 14 putative class actions brought against MGM by individuals who allege their PII was  
 15 compromised as a result of a cybersecurity incident involving MGM in 2019 (the "2019  
 16 Actions") filed Notices of Related Cases Pursuant to District of Nevada Local Rule 42.1,  
 17 notifying the Court that the Related Actions are related to the 2019 Actions. *In re: MGM*  
 18 *Resorts Int'l Data Breach Litig.*, No. 2:20-CV-00376-GMN-NJK, ECF Nos. 186, 188.  
 19 Plaintiffs in this action have opposed this effort because the 2019 Actions involved a  
 20 different threat actor and different data. No order has issued on the notices filed by the  
 21 plaintiffs in the 2019 Actions, and MGM has not responded to any other Complaint in the  
 22 Related Actions.

23 The parties in the Related Actions are discussing this development in addition to the  
 24 consolidation of the Related Actions. The parties in the Related Actions have also engaged  
 25 in preliminary information exchange that may avoid the need for certain motion practice,  
 26 which would conserve judicial resources. As such, additional time is required to permit time  
 27 to meet and confer with the various parties to the Related Actions.

28 The Parties' request is made in good faith to enable the parties to finalize the joint

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT  
 CASE NO. 2:23-CV-1537

1 motion for consolidation and conserve judicial and party resources. Moreover, this case is  
2 in its infancy, and this request will not prejudice any party.

3 **WHEREAS** the Parties respectfully request that MGM shall have until April 10,  
4 2024, to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

5 Dated: March 8, 2024

6 Respectfully submitted,

7 /s/ Nathan R. Ring  
8 Nathan R. Ring  
**STRANCH, JENNINGS & GARVEY,**  
**PLLC**  
9 3100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 208  
Las Vegas, NV 89102  
Telephone: 725.235.9750  
10 lasvegas@stranchlaw.com

11 *Attorney for Plaintiff and the Proposed  
12 Class*

13 /s/ Todd L. Bice  
14 Todd L. Bice  
**PISANELLI BICE, PLLC**  
15 400 S. 7th Street Suite 300  
Las Vegas, NV 89101  
Telephone: 702.214.2100  
16 tlb@pisanellibice.com

17 Angela C. Agrusa  
**DLA PIPER LLP (US)**  
18 2000 Avenue of the Stars  
Suite 400 North Tower  
19 Los Angeles, CA 90067-4735  
Telephone: 310.595.3000  
20 Angela.agrusa@us.dlapiper.com

21 *Attorneys for Defendant  
MGM Resorts International*

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

23   
24 THE HONORABLE BRENDA WEKSLER  
25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

26 DATED: 3/11/2024